

# **An Assessment of Corrugated HDPE Pipe Performance Under Railroads**



Corrugated Polyethylene Pipe Testing under 315,000-Pound Cars at FAST

> Letter Report No. P-09-052 Prepared for Plastic Pipe Institute by Joseph A. LoPresti Transportation Technology Center, Inc.



...a subsidiary of the Association of American Railroads P. O. Box 11130, Pueblo, Colorado 81001 USA, December 28, 2009 Revised January 14, 2010

#### Railroad Network of the UNITED STATES

# 2008



© 1993-2010, Association of American Railroads. For more information about railroads, visit www.aar.org or www.freightrailworks.org.

February 2010





- Currently 7 major railroads (Class I) and hundreds of Class II and III railroads comprising 140,000 miles of track in U.S.

- Revenues in the freight rail industry were \$63B in 2009

- 1.4 million freight cars operating in 2009 (450,000 Class I)

- Freight Rail Infrastructure Expansion Act of 2009 proposes increased funding and tax incentives for freight rail

FRA recently announced\$25M funding for high speed

# **PLASTICS**•**PIPE**•**INSTITUTE**<sup>™</sup>



• A single freight train can take the load of 280 trucks

• If 10% of current long distance highway freight switched to rail, national fuel savings would exceed 1B gallons/year (3.8 B Liters/year)

# ENVIRONMENTAL ADVANTAGES OF RAIL

- Railroad fuel efficiency is up 94% since 1980
- A freight train moves a ton of freight an average of 480 miles on a gallon of fuel (0.6 liters of fuel per 100 km)

According to Federal Railroad
 Administration, railroads are 2 – 5 times
 more fuel-efficient than trucks





A 20-foot section of SaniTite<sup>®</sup> HP pipe being nudged into the culvert. It took the crew just hours to slipline the entire 200 feet of the culvert.





Contractor easily assembles the field joint together with ratchet straps.

This required the repair to be able to seal out any contamination from above, not inhibit the creek's water flow rate and still be able to withstand the weight of 17 feet of earth and the multi-ton Amtrak passenger and freight railcars frequently passing overhead every day.





The final headwall and the 60-inch diameter pipe blends in with the surrounding area.





• TTCI – Transportation Technology Center, Inc., Pueblo, CO

• FAST – Facility for Accelerated Service Testing

• Typical train consist = 80 315,000 lb (156 ton) cars and 4 GP-40 locomotives



#### Static Wheel Loads

- (Wheel Load)(# of wheels) = Gross Weight of Car



Axle Load	Gross Weight of Cars				
kxle load tons)	Gross weight of cars (Ibs)	Туре			
10	80,000	Light rail transit			
15	120,000	Heavy rail transit			
25	200,000	Passenger Cars			
25	200,000	Common European freight limit			
27.5	220,000	U.K. and Select European limit			
33	263,000	North American free interchange limit			
36	286,000	Current Heavy Axle load weight for North American Class 1			
39	315,000	Very limited use; research phase			

• We Used 39 Ton Axle Load Cars (315,000 lb) for the test; TTCI started using these loads in 1988



# Live Load Transferred to Pipe

Cover, ft. (m)	AASHTO H-25 or HS-25 <sup>(1)</sup>		Cooper E-80 <sup>(1)</sup>		AASHTO H-25 or HS-25 <sup>(1)</sup>		Cooper E-80 <sup>(1)</sup>
	Live Load Transferred to Pipe, P <sub>L</sub> , psi (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	Live Load Distribution Width, L <sub>W</sub> in (mm)	Live Load Transferred to Pipe, P <sub>L</sub> , psi (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	Cover, ft. (m)	Live Load Transferred to Pipe, P <sub>L</sub> , psi (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	Live Load Distribution Width, L <sub>W</sub> in (mm)	Live Load Transferred to Pipe, P <sub>L</sub> , psi (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )
1 (0.3)	15.63 (0.108)	31 (787)	N/R	14 (4.3)	negligible	N/A	4.17 (0.0288)
2 (0.6)	6.95 (0.048)	52 (1321)	26.39 (0.1824)	16 (4.9)	negligible	N/A	3.47 (0.0240)
3 (0.9)	5.21 (0.036)	73 (1854)	23.61 (0.1632)	18 (5.5)	negligible	N/A	2.78 (0.0192)
4 (1.2)	3.48 (0.024)	94 (2388)	18.40 (0.1272)	20 (6.1)	negligible	N/A	2.08 (0.0144)
5 (1.5)	2.18 (0.015)	115 (2921)	16.67 (0.1152)	22 (6.7)	negligible	N/A	1.91 (0.0132)
6 (1.8)	1.74 (0.012)	136 (3454)	15.63 (0.1080)	24 (7.3)	negligible	N/A	1.74 (0.0120)
7 (2.1)	1.53 (0.011)	157 (3988)	12.15 (0.0840)	26 (7.9)	negligible	N/A	1.39 (0.0096)
8 (2.4)	0.86 (0.006)	178 (4521)	11.11 (0.0768)	28 (8.5)	negligible	N/A	1.04 (0.0072)
10 (3.0)	negligible	N/A	7.64 (0.0528)	30 (9.1)	negligible	N/A	0.69 (0.0048)
12 (3.7)	negligible	N/A	5.56 (0.0384)	35 (10.7)	negligible	N/A	negligible

**Cooper E-80 Load** 





# TTCI



Headquartered in Pueblo, CO at the U.S. Federal Railroad Administration's Transportation Technology Center

•

- Includes 50 miles of test track for specialized full scale rail tests
  - TTCI capable of accumulating 1 million gross tonmiles / day on high tonnage loop







ngle - Imagery ©2010 DigitalGlobe, USBA Farm Service Agency When

















-Instrumented 2 58" pipes (1 for each backfill type)

16 strain gages,10 string pots oneach pipe

- One end of pipe had an inline bell WT coupler; the other end a fabric wrapped split coupler

-Track loads directly over joints









# EXCAVATION FOR THE PIPES











Installing the instrumented pipe sections

**PLASTICS**•**P**IPE•**I**NSTITUTE<sup>™</sup>





# Placing crushed stone backfill around pipe





SITE 1 – Crushed stone #57 Backfill, vibrated with jumping jack

SITE 2 – Native soil backfill (ASTM Class III), vibrated with jumping jack

Pipe #2 Soil Backfill

First foot over pipe - Compacted to 94% SPD with small vibratory roller.

Second foot over pipe – Compacted to 98% SPD with loader and large vibratory larger roller. Pipe #1 Stone Backfill

CAT











## Initial compaction of the native cover soil





#### **Final Compaction**













#### **Strains and Deflections were Measured:**

- Statically, when backfill and track construction was complete
- Statically, after accumulating 1 MGT of Heavy Axle Load (HAL) traffic
- Dynamically, during 10 laps of train operations after completing 1 MGT of HAL traffic
- Statically, prior to train operations after accumulating 96 MGT of HAL traffic
- Dynamically, during 10 laps of train operations after completing 96 MGT of HAL traffic

### Data Collection (See Video)















### **PLASTICS**•**PIPE**•**INSTITUTE**<sup>™</sup>



#### **Pipe Wall Strains from Construction and Backfill**





**Pipe Deflections from Construction and Backfill** 





#### Maximum dynamic wall strains from 40 mph train after 1 MGT





Maximum peak-peak dynamic wall strains from 40 mph train after 1 MGT

**PLASTICS**•**PIPE**•**INSTITUTE**<sup>™</sup>



#### **Maximum deflections from 40 mph train**







#### Maximum peak-peak changes in deflection due to dynamic load from 40 mph train





PLASTICS · PIPE · INSTITUTE<sup>™</sup>





#### Sample dynamic strain and deflection data for train pass





**Dynamic distribution of wheel loads over track** 





#### **Data was collected after 96** MGT as well:

- Strains were slightly higher than after 1 MGT test (max strain increased by 600 microstrain, or 0.06%)

- Deflections were slightly higher than after 1 MGT (max deflection increased by 0.2", or 0.4%)

- Maximum circumferential shortening increased from 0.5" to 0.8"





#### After 6 Weeks Static Load:

- One set of wheels parked over each pipe continuously for 6 weeks

- Negligible track deflection at the end of 6 weeks; rebounded completely when train was removed

-No track geometry maintenance needed after the test due to soil settlement or pipe deflection



# **KEY SUMMARY POINTS**



- Maximum strain due to construction loads was -7300 microstrain (0.73%) compressive

- Tensile strains were negligible

Maximum peak-peak strain due to dynamic loads was
1173 microstrain (0.12%) compressive

- Maximum deflection due to construction loads was **1.46%** 

- Maximum deflection due to dynamic loads was **0.12%** 



-Pipes performed acceptably through 96 MGT

- No track geometry maintenance was required at test site due to pipe deflection or fill settlement

- Ride quality over the pipes was satisfactory

- The maximum deflection caused by dynamic loads was 0.06" (0.12%)

# **KEY CONCLUSIONS FROM TTCI**





# CONCLUSION



Large diameter
corrugated HDPE
pipe is recommended
for railroad
applications,
including shallow
fills, when properly
installed

• Large Diameter corrugated HDPE pipe was included in the 2012 AREMA Specifications as a result of this study



# **QUESTIONS?**

